

DESPATCHED  
On 02/06/2020

28/04/2020



STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, KERALA  
PUNNEN ROAD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001  
Tel: 0471 2561600, 2561613, 2561614, 2561615 Fax: 0471 2330920  
Email: sic.ker@nic.in

Proceedings of the Appeal Petition No.2170(6)/2015/SIC  
(File No.14279/SIC-Gen5/2015)

PRESENT

Vinson M. Paul, Chief Information Commissioner

Sri. E. Kunhiraman,  
Rtd. Associate Professor,  
Meleth Veedu,  
Burnassery, Kannur – 695 013.

} Appellant

1) The State Public Information Officer,  
District Treasury,  
Thiruvananthapuram.

2) Appellate Authority,  
District Treasury,  
Thiruvananthapuram.

} Respondents

Date of application u/s 6(1)	10/06/2015
Date of reply furnished	08/07/2015
Date of 1 <sup>st</sup> appeal	14/07/2015
Date of decision on the 1 <sup>st</sup> appeal	07/09/2015
Date of filing 2 <sup>nd</sup> appeal	01/09/2015
Date of receipt of 2 <sup>nd</sup> appeal in the Commission	03/09/2015
Date of report called for by the Commission	19/09/2015
Date of receipt of report in the Commission	08/10/2015

### ORDER

Appeal Petitioner Sri. E. Kunhiraman, represented before the Commission that there was no response from the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent on his application. Hence an appeal was filed before the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent. However, there was no response on the appeal either.

2. In his application submitted before the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent, the Appellant sought a detailed statement of salary drawn by him from the District Treasury, Trivandrum during the period from 31.7.1993 to 17.3.1994.

3. The Appellant filed his first appeal before the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent stating that the information sought by him was not provided by the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent.

4. In the report furnished to the Commission by the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent, it was stated that reply was furnished to the Appellant on 8.7.2015 at the address furnished in his application. However, the reply came back with intimation that the addressee could not be traced. Reply to the appeal was sent to the Appellant on 7.9.2015 at the address furnished. Copies of the replies sent earlier were enclosed with the report.

5. On scrutinising the appeal petition and connected documents, the Commission finds that in the reply sent by the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent to the Appellant, it was stated that it was not clear as to whether the Appellant had drawn his salary through cheques or through bills. From the letter of the Appellant dated 11.1.2016 addressed to the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent, it is evident that the reply dated 8.7.2015 was subsequently received by him. In the above letter, the Appellant clarified that he had drawn his salary through bills. He also furnished the Head of Account under which he had claimed his salary.

6. In view of the facts stated above, the Commission does not find any merit in his appeal petition before the Commission as reply from the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent seeking certain clarification was received by the Appellant. The Appellant responded to the above letter on 11.1.2016. Hence Commission does not find any merit in this appeal and hence dismisses on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2020.

Sd/-

Vinson M. Paul

Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated Copy

  
Additional Secretary(law)

