

DISPATCHED
02/06/2020



STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, KERALA
PUNNEN ROAD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001
Tel: 0471 2561600, 2561613, 2561614, 2561615 Fax: 0471 2330920

Email: sic.ker@nic.in

Proceedings of the Appeal Petition No.2676(6)/2015/SIC
(File No.16800/SIC-Gen5/2015)

PRESENT

Vinson M. Paul, Chief Information Commissioner

Sri. Deepu K.,
Deepu Vihar,
Kalleli Bhagom P.O.,
Karunagapally, Kollam – 690 519.

} Appellant

1) The State Public Information Officer &
Deputy Police Commissioner(Admn),
O/o the District Police Chief,
Thiruvananthapuram City.

} Respondents

2) Appellate Authority &
District Police Chief,
O/o the District Police Chief,
Thiruvananthapuram City.

Date of application u/s 6(1)	07/07/2015
Date of reply furnished	04/08/2015
Date of 1 st appeal	18/08/2015
Date of decision on the 1 st appeal	13/11/2015
Date of filing 2 nd appeal	25/10/2015
Date of receipt of 2 nd appeal in the Commission	29/10/2015
Date of report called for by the Commission	11/11/2015
Date of receipt of report in the Commission	28/11/2015
Date & Venue of hearing	17/03/2020 State Information Commission Office, Trivandrum
Presence in the hearing	: Appellant : SPIO
	Absent Present

ORDER

Appeal Petitioner Sri. Deepu K., represented before the Commission that the information sought by him was not fully furnished by the 1st



Respondent. Hence an appeal was filed before the 2nd Respondent. However, no response was received from the 2nd Respondent.

2. In his application submitted before the 1st Respondent, the Appellant sought the following information:

- i. How many complaints were received in the office of the Commissioner, Thiruvananthapuram from the Appellant regarding corruptions and sexual atrocities in RGCB. How many complaints were received regarding the fake FIR registered against the Appellant at Poojappura Police Station.
- ii. What were the actions taken by the office of the Commissioner, Thiruvananthapuram based on his complaints and so on numbering to 7 items.

Reply to the above application was furnished by the 1st Respondent. The Appellant filed his first appeal before the 2nd Respondent pointing out lapses on the part of the first Respondent. The 2nd Respondent furnished a detailed reply with regard to the objections raised by the Appellant in his first appeal.


3. On scrutinizing the appeal petition and connected documents, the Commission finds that the 2nd Respondent had furnished reply to the Appellant on 13/11/2015 which was after the Appellant had filed his second appeal before the Commission. The Commission finds that the 2nd Respondent had failed to furnish any reply to the Appellant within the stipulated time limit. The Commission directs the then 2nd Respondent to furnish his explanation for his failure to dispose of the first appeal within the time limit as stipulated under section 19(6) of the RTI Act.

4. The Commission does not find any merit in this appeal petition at this point of time in the light of the detailed information furnished to the Appellant

by the 2nd Respondent. As such, the Commission provisionally disposes of this appeal petition as stated above on the 18th day of March, 2020.

Sd/-
Vinson M. Paul
Chief Information Commissioner

Authenticated Copy



Additional Secretary(law)



US

