

DESPATCHED
On 06/02/2020

17.1.20.



**STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION, KERALA
PUNNEN ROAD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001**

Tel: 0471 2561600, 2561613, 2561614, 2561615 Fax: 0471 2330920

Email: sic@kerala.nic.in

**Proceedings of the Complaint Petition No.312(6)/2015/SIC
(File No.3435/SIC-Gen5/2015)**

PRESENT

Vinson M. Paul, Chief Information Commissioner

Sri. V.S. Nathan,
Vellamvelli House,
P.O. Pookode,
Pathayakkunnu (via),
Kannur - 670 691.

} Appellant

The State Public Information Officer &
District Survey Superintendent,
Collectorate, Kannur.

} Respondent

| | |
|---|---|
| Date of application u/s 6(1) | 29.1.2015 |
| Date of reply | - |
| Date of filing Complaint Petition in the Commission | 2.3.2015 |
| Date of receipt of Complaint Petition in the Commission | 4.3.2015 |
| Date of Report called for by the Commission | 26.3.2015 & 7.1.2020 |
| Date of report | 13.4.2015 & 14.1.2020 |
| Date & Venue of Hearing conducted | 17.1.2020, Video Conference Hall, Collectorate, Kannur |
| Presence in the Hearing | SPIO present. Complainant absent. |

ORDER

Complaint Petitioner Sri. V.S Nathan, represented before the Commission that the Commission should look into his case and pass suitable orders so that he gets correct information.

2. In his application submitted before the District Collector, Kannur, he stated that on enquiry with the Junior Superintendent, D5 section, he was informed that his letter dated 3.10.2014 has not been received in that section. Hence a copy of the above letter was handed over personally at the reception and he was given acknowledgement on 15.11.2014. He stated that the Collectorate has not made any attempt to find out the truth of his allegation regarding manipulation done by the then Village officer Sri. Sabu.

3. In the report furnished to the Commission by the Respondent, it was stated that the complaint submitted by the Complaint Petitioner did not contain any matter to be resolved by the Revenue Department. The complaints submitted by the Complaint Petitioner were forwarded to the Tahsildar, Thalassery. Though the Tahsildar had directed the Complaint Petitioner to present himself for a hearing, he failed to turn up for the hearing.

4. The Commission find that there is no clarity in the complaint petition or in the application submitted by the Complaint Petitioner. It is not clear as to what was the information sought by him from the Collectorate. The SPIO, who appeared before the Commission for the hearing, stated that the Complaint Petitioner was called over for a hearing with a view to ascertain the exact information sought by him. However, the Complaint petitioner failed to appear before the Tahsildar, Thalassery.

5. In view of the facts stated above, the Commission does not find any merit in this Complaint Petition and hence the same is dismissed on the 17th day of January, 2020.

Sd/-

Vinson M. Paul

Chief Information Commissioner



Authenticated Copy


Additional Secretary (Law)

bnk/